

# UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

## IN RE: PROCEDURES FOR ENTERING A STAY IN NEW IEEPA TARIFF CASES

### Administrative Order 25-02

To facilitate the administration of new cases that continue to be filed challenging the imposition of tariffs under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (“IEEPA”), see International Emergency Economic Powers Act, Pub. L. No. 95-223, § 201–08, 91 Stat. 1625, 1626–29 (1977) (codified as amended at 50 U.S.C. §§ 1701–10), it is hereby **ORDERED**:

All currently unassigned cases and new cases filed after the date of this Administrative Order involving claims arising from and seeking relief relating to the announcement or imposition of tariffs invoking IEEPA through Executive Orders 14193, 14194, 14195, or 14257, as amended, see Executive Order 14193, Imposing Duties to Address the Flow of Illicit Drugs Across Our Northern Border, 90 Fed. Reg. 9113, 9114 (Feb. 1, 2025); Executive Order 14193, 90 Fed. Reg. at 9114; Executive Order 14194, Imposing Duties to Address the Situation at Our Southern Border, 90 Fed. Reg. 9117, 9118 (Feb. 1, 2025); Executive Order 14195, Imposing Duties to Address the Synthetic Opioid Supply Chain in the People’s Republic of China, 90 Fed. Reg. 9121, 9122 (Feb. 1, 2025); Executive Order 14257, Regulating Imports With a Reciprocal Tariff To Rectify Trade Practices That Contribute to Large and Persistent Annual United States Goods Trade Deficits, 90 Fed. Reg. 15041 (Apr. 7, 2025), and which invoke the court’s jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1581(i) (“New IEEPA Tariff Cases”), shall be stayed upon commencement and without further action by the court;

No order of assignment for New IEEPA Tariff Cases shall be issued upon or before entry of the stay; and

The Clerk must maintain a schedule of cases subject to this stay. The court expects to determine the appropriate next steps for resolution of the New IEEPA Tariff Cases following a final, unappealable decision in V.O.S. Selections, Inc. v. United States, 49 CIT \_\_, 772 F. Supp. 3d 1350 (2025); aff’d in part, vacated in part, 149 F.4th 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2025), cert. granted, Appeal No. 25-250 (S. Ct.). Any party seeking to lift the stay before the court does so sua sponte must provide good cause indicating why their case merits earlier consideration.

Dated: December 23, 2025  
New York, New York

/s/ Mark A. Barnett  
Chief Judge Mark A. Barnett