

Climate Change Regulation: Here and Now

Wednesday, June 9, 2010

Craig Gannett
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
Seattle Office



Agenda

- Pending federal legislation
- Meanwhile, federal and state agencies are exercising authority under existing law to require –
 - Greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions
 - GHG monitoring and reporting
 - Disclosure of climate change business risk
 - Consideration of climate change in project permitting
- What to do now

Federal Legislation

- Federal legislation is in the ditch, but may not stay there thanks to BP (think healthcare and financial reform)
- Kerry-Lieberman May 12 draft bill is the operative document, but Senator Reid seeking a new legislative package by July 4 recess
- Tomorrow, Senate votes on Murkowski resolution of disapproval of EPA regulations

Federal Legislation

- Reduction goals: 4.75 percent by 2013, 17 percent by 2020, 42 percent by 2030, and 83 percent by 2050
- Covers large industrial emitters, electric and gas utilities, and transportation fuels
- Cap-and-trade allows emitters to either reduce their own emissions or buy allowances from another emitter who can do so more cheaply
- Preempts state and regional cap-and-trade programs

Federal Legislation

- Retail utility customers will not be directly regulated
- Free allowances in early years will mitigate retail price increases, but transition to auction will likely cause retail price increases over time
- Auction price is “collared” (floor and ceiling) and will escalate over time
- Goal of retail utility customers should be to lower their dependence on GHG-emitting activities before the free allowances run out

Reductions under Clean Air Act

- EPA PSD/Tailoring Rule takes effect on January 2, 2011
- Applies initially only to large emitters already regulated under CAA, but soon applies to new construction emitting 100,000 tons per year (tpy), or modifications increasing GHG emissions by 75,000 tpy
- Requires use of best available control technology (BACT)
- Litigation may dramatically lower threshold because CAA actually says 100 and 250 tpy; hence the “tailoring”

Monitoring and Reporting

- EPA reporting rule became effective 1/1/10; first reports due 4/11
- Limited to facilities over 25,000 tpy (about equal to a 15 MW natural gas-fired facility)
- States and Western Climate Initiative are working on their own monitoring and reporting programs
- Early next month, WA Department of Ecology will issue draft rule with 10,000 tpy threshold
- Failure to comply with EPA rule is a violation of the CAA; potential civil and criminal penalties

SEC Disclosure Guidance

- In January, the SEC provided “guidance” to public companies regarding disclosure of regulatory and business risk relating to climate change
- Required disclosures:
 - Impact on company of current and future climate regulation (federal, state, and local)
 - Effect of climate change on the company (e.g., water supply and quality, rising sea levels, changing weather patterns) This includes impacts on company’s entire supply and customer chain

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- Covers all development and/or expansion projects that need either a federal or state permit
- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires assessment of environmental impacts of “major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment”
- WA’s State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) mirrors NEPA **except SEPA is substantive**
- Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and Ecology have each issued draft guidance on analyzing climate change-related impacts under NEPA/SEPA

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- Like any other form of pollution, GHGs must now be considered in terms of:
 - Adverse environmental effects (cumulative impacts)
 - Alternatives that emit fewer GHGs
- Must also consider effect of climate change on the proposed project

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- If GHG emissions from a proposed project are “significant,” the proponent must either mitigate the emissions to a level of non-significance or do an EIS
- So, what is significant?
 - EPA-recommended threshold for analysis is 25,000 tpy
 - Ecology “welcomes further discussion;” maybe 10,000 tpy
 - In CA, local governments decide

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- What counts toward “significant”?
- Ecology GHG worksheet supplements the SEPA checklist, and includes emissions from:
 - Construction
 - All mobile and stationary sources;
 - Purchased electricity and steam;
 - Extraction, processing, and transportation of purchased materials;
 - Waste management (including wastewater)
 - Product use

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- CEQ says mitigation measures to reduce emissions must be:
 - Permanent
 - Verifiable
 - Enforceable
 - Additional (more than otherwise would have occurred)
- Ecology very skeptical of offsets

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- Turning to the impact of climate change on the project, what kinds of impacts are they worried about?
 - **Extreme weather events (flooding, windstorms, droughts, heat waves)**
 - **Water availability (changes in precipitation patterns)**
 - **Water quality (particularly temperature and stormwater runoff)**
 - **Urban infrastructure (particularly due to increased stormwater runoff)**
 - **Energy supply and demand**
 - **Coastlines (direct and indirect impacts from sea level rise)**

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- The level of analysis of climate change impacts on the project depends on:
 - Vulnerability of the project (see preceding slide)
 - Vulnerability of the affected environment
 - Project timeframe
- No “exorbitant research” of impacts needed; existing scientific literature may be included by reference

Permitting Under NEPA and SEPA

- SEPA's substantive authority means that WA State agencies can require mitigation as a condition of the permit, including:
 - Low impact development
 - Develop projects along reliable and convenient public transit
 - Water recycling or gray water system
 - On-site renewable energy production
 - Charging stations for plug-in electric vehicles
 - Locally sourced and reused building materials
 - Energy efficient industrial processes

What to do now

- No. 1: Make reducing GHGs part of your business strategy
 - Only big emitters under direct pressure, but smaller footprint has multiple regulatory benefits
 - Look for “no regrets” options that reduce GHGs while saving energy and money; benefits will multiply as cost of GHG emissions increases under federal legislation
 - Consider GHG emissions when choosing your business partners because their footprint will be attributed to you

What to do now

- No. 2: GHG monitoring and reporting is here to stay, so:
 - Check the EPA and state rules
 - Retain a consultant to assess your business and establish your baseline
 - Obtain your monitoring equipment and prepare a monitoring plan
 - Document GHG reductions (you may want credit for them later)
 - Remember that more publicly-available data means more litigation

What to do now

- No. 3: Disclosure of climate change risks to your business is here to stay, so:
 - Strictly conform to SEC guidance if you are a public company
 - Inadequate or inaccurate disclosure is another likely source of litigation
 - If you are not a public company, prepare for other forms of disclosure, such as in context of insurance, upon sale of business, etc.

What to do now

- No. 4: If you are planning anything that needs a federal or state permit:
 - Analyze the likely GHG emissions from all aspects of your potential project
 - Develop alternatives and detailed, defensible mitigation and/or offset plans
 - Analyze your project's vulnerability to climate change impacts, using existing scientific literature

What to do now

- No. 5: Get involved!
 - Comment on Ecology's draft SEPA guidance by June 25
 - Comment on the upcoming Ecology reporting rule
 - Attend agency workshops to better understand new rules; engage agency staff
 - Meet with federal and state agency staff early in project development; they are looking for early success stories

For More Information

Craig Gannett

Co-chair, Climate Change Practice

206.757.8048

craiggannett@dwt.com

