

# POST PAYMENT AUDITS: RACs, MACs and MORE

Kathleen Houston Drummy

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

DWT 2011 Health Care Regulatory and Compliance Update

March 1, 2011

Anchorage  
Bellevue  
Los Angeles

New York  
Portland  
San Francisco

Seattle  
Shanghai  
Washington, D.C.



# The Audit and Improper Payment Landscape

- \* Medicare RACs
- \* Medicaid RACs
- \* MACs
- \* MICs
- \* PSCs/ZPICs
- \* OIG

# RACs

## RECOVERY AUDIT CONTRACTORS

- \* Medicare Part A and Part B
- \* Medicare Part C and Part D
- \* Medicaid RACs

# Medicare Part A/Part B Fee for Service Program

## Glowing Success Story?

### GAO Report

- \* Most of the RAC-identified vulnerabilities that led to improper payment have gone unaddressed
- \* Contractors did not address 60% of the significant vulnerabilities identified
- \* Corrective actions not taken on \$231 million of \$378 million in overpayments identified
- \* CMS corrective actions?

# HERE NOW: Part A / Part B

- \* Now a national permanent program
- \* Slow rollout

## California

- \*\* HDI
- \*\* Contact information issues/misdirected requests

On the plus side, availability of discussion period and delays in RACs' entitlements to their contingency fees seems to be reducing the number of inappropriate denials

# LIMITATIONS ON MEDICARE PART A AND PART B RACs

Medical Record Requests Limits: Ensure that Provider's ability to provide patient care is not negatively impacted

3 year look back limit

Medical Director and Clinical Reviewer requirements

Palomar Appeal

# IN THE WINGS: Medicare Part C and Part D

- \* RAC Program expanded to Part C and Part D
- \* Also paid on contingency fee basis
- \* Additional tasks include reviewing fraud prevention plans, so focus is broader than recovery of improper payments



## MEDICARE PART C AND PART D RACs

- \* CMS has been soliciting comments on this RAC program as to how the RAC findings could be used to reduce improper payments in Part C and Part D
  - \* Comments were due February 25, 2011
  - \* CMS December 27, 2010 solicitation of comments

# MEDICARE PART C AND PART D RACs

MANY CONCERNS: DOES MEDICARE FFS RAC MODEL EASILY TRANSLATE TO MEDICARE PART C AND PART D?

- \* Managed care payment models generally do not involve a dollar for dollar correction between what is paid and the costs associated to the MCO and CMS
- \* The MA organization (MAO) contracts with RACs on contingency fee and then MAO retains any overpayments
- \* There are questions as to the qualifications of the auditors to review Medicare Part C payments

# MEDICARE PART C RACs

## IMPACT OF PROVIDER-PLAN CONTRACTS ON RAC REVIEWS

- Contractually negotiated look backs
- Medical record requests
- Time allowed for RAC review
- Type of issues which may be reviewed
- The number of auditors that may review
- Information that may be requested
- Contractual process for appeals

# MEDICAID RACs

- \* State plan amendments was due by December 31, 2010
- \* California submitted on December 16, 2010, approved on February 18, 2011
- \* Contingency fee basis

# MEDICAID RACs

CMS Proposed Rule Published November 10, 2010

- \*\* Claims would be reviewed using State Medicaid rules and State may use its current appeal process
- \*\* While states have flexibility as to the details of their Medicaid RAC programs, the Medicaid RACs cannot replace existing state program integrity or audit programs
- \*\* Implementation of the state's Medicaid RAC is delayed until the new implementation date is indicated in the final rule later this year

# MEDICAID RACS

- \* Comments from several associations and RACs
- \* Concerns that the lessons learned from the RAC demonstration project are not incorporated into the proposed regulatory framework, including:
  - \* Continued challenge to medical necessity reviews in light of the bias inherent in contingency fees
  - \* Duplication of audits
  - \* Medical record limits
  - \* Lack of transparency and restrictions on RACs
  - \* Timing of payments to RACs
  - \* Need for a Medicaid RAC data warehouse to avoid multiple requests for review of the same claim by various auditors

# MICs

## MEDICAID INTEGRITY CONTRACTORS

- \* Deficit Reduction Act § 6034 mandated creation of the Medicaid Integrity Program, including engagement of MICs to perform review, audit and education regarding Medicaid payments
- \* CMS is to:
  - (1) Hire MICs
  - (2) Provide effective support and assistance to the State's efforts to fight Medicaid fraud and abuse

# ROLE OF THE STATE MEDICAID LAWS

- \* Record retention requirements
- \* Record request limits
- \* Extrapolation
- \* Special Rules



# MICs

- \* Overpayment demand comes through the State
  - \* CMS collects FFP from the State
  - \* State is responsible for collecting the overpayments from the Provider
  - \* State appeal process applies
  - \* Look back period
    - \* September 29, 2011 informational bulletin sets a national 5 year look back period regardless of State law

# MICs

- \* Contrast to Medicare RACs
  - \* No limits on number of records requested
  - \* Provider has shorter time to produce records

# MACs

## MEDICARE ADMINISTRATIVE CONTRACTORS

- \* FIs and carriers transitioning to MACs who are or will handle both Part A and Part B claims except in certain circumstances
- \* One MAC hat involves program integrity

# OIG OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

- \* Work Plan
- \* Audit Contractor Issues, e.g., MICs
- \* Database Analysis

# POST PAYMENT AUDITORS' ROLES IN MEDICARE AND MEDICAID FRAUD PREVENTION

## RAC's Role

- \* Medicare RAC's role in Medicare program integrity
  - \*\* CMS to educate RACs to know it when they see it
  - \*\* RACs to report any suspected instances to CMS
  - \*\* GAO experience: The case of 2 cases
  - \*\* CMS' solicitation for comments on Medicare Part C and Part D RACs for implementation of ACA § 6411(b) to ensure each Plan has anti-fraud plans in place and to review the effectiveness of those anti-fraud plans and payment for such reviews on a contingency basis

## Medicaid RACs

- \* MAC's Role

- \*\* Integrity Goals:

- \*\*\* To help prevent improper payments through claims analysis and complaint reviews

- \*\*\* To identify suspected billing problems

- \*\*\* To receive and process voluntary disclosures and refunds

## \* MIC's Role

\*\* Part of the Medicaid Integrity Program

\*\* Goal: To prevent and reduce Medicaid fraud and abuse

- \* **OIG's Role**

- \*\* Responsible for investigating fraud cases and enforcement action

- \*\* Refers to Department of Justice



# ROLE OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

Establish a culture within an organization that promotes prevention, detection, and resolution of instances of conduct not in compliance with federal and state law or federal healthcare program requirements

# COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

Already mandatory for certain entities

## Pre-ACA:

- \* Medicare FFS Contractors
- \* Medicaid Managed Care Plans

# COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

## Post-ACA:

- \* DHHS Secretary must mandate that a provider within a particular industry sector or category adopt a compliance program as a condition of enrollment in Medicare, Medicaid, or CHIP
- \* On February 2, 2011, CMS published a final rule implementing provisions of ACA, generally effective March 25, 2011
  - \*\* CMS notes that it is in the process of developing a new Notice of Proposed Rulemaking incorporating compliance program provisions
  - \*\* Will reference comments it had solicited from the Industry

# EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAM

Education

Awareness

Detection

Corrective Actions

# ROLE OF SELF DISCLOSURES AND REFUNDS

Impact on RAC, MAC and MIC review

Impact on OIG

# JUGGLING ACT

- \* Track differences between government auditors
  - e.g., look back periods
  - e.g., record request limits
  - e.g., appeal process
  
- \* Take OIG work plan and compliance programs seriously
  
- \* Head them off at the pass
  - \*\* Education
  - \*\* Self Audit
  - \*\* External Audit
  - \*\* Self Disclosure

# QUESTIONS?